Kris A. McLean
Tyson A. McLean
Kris A. McLean Law Firm, PLLC
2315 McDonald Ave., Suite 106
Missoula, MT 59801
kris@krismcleanlaw.com
tyson@krismcleanlaw.com

Julie Weis
HAGLUND KELLEY LLP
2177 SW Broadway
Portland, OR 97201
jweis@hk-law.com
(admitted pro hac vice)

Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor/Consolidated Defendant-Intervenor Kootenai Tribe of Idaho

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA MISSOULA DIVISION

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

and

ALLIANCE FOR THE WILD ROCKIES and NATIVE ECOSYSTEMS COUNCIL,

Consolidated Plaintiffs,

VS.

U.S. FOREST SERVICE, et al.,

Lead Case No. CV 22-114-M-DWM

Member Case No. CV 23-3-M-DWM

DEFENDANTINTERVENOR/CONSOLIDATED
DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR
KOOTENAI TRIBE OF IDAHO'S
UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE A SHORT
RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF
SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY
[ECF No. 89]

DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR/CONSOLIDATED DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SHORT RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

Defendants,

and

KIRSTEN KAISER, District Ranger, Kootenai National Forest, Three Rivers Ranger District, *et al.*,

Consolidated Defendants.

and

KOOTENAI TRIBE OF IDAHO,

Defendant-Intervenor/ Consolidated Defendant-Intervenor.

The Kootenai Tribe of Idaho (the Tribe) respectfully seeks the Court's leave to file the attached short response to Consolidated Plaintiffs Alliance for the Wild Rockies et al. (Consolidated Plaintiffs) Notice of Supplemental Authority (ECF No. 89), which referred the Court to the post-briefing decision *Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Marten (Marten)*, CV 21-05-M-DLC, 2023 WL 4977712 (D. Mont. Aug. 3, 2023), involving Endangered Species Act challenges to certain programmatic documents on the Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest. This motion is unopposed – Plaintiffs, Consolidated Plaintiffs and the Federal Defendants do not oppose the Tribe's motion.

Page 1 – DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR/CONSOLIDATED DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SHORT RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of August, 2023.

s/ Julie A. Weis
Julie A. Weis
HAGLUND KELLEY LLP
Portland, Oregon
(admitted pro hac vice)

Kris A. McLean Tyson A. McLean Kris A. McLean Law Firm, PLLC

Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor/Consolidated Defendant-Intervenor Kootenai Tribe of Idaho Kootenai Tribe of Idaho's Proposed Short Filing Regarding Consolidated Plaintiffs' Notice of Supplemental Authority

Kris A. McLean
Tyson A. McLean
Kris A. McLean Law Firm, PLLC
2315 McDonald Ave., Suite 106
Missoula, MT 59801
kris@krismcleanlaw.com
tyson@krismcleanlaw.com

Julie Weis
HAGLUND KELLEY LLP
2177 SW Broadway
Portland, OR 97201
jweis@hk-law.com
(admitted pro hac vice)

Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor/Consolidated Defendant-Intervenor Kootenai Tribe of Idaho

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA MISSOULA DIVISION

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, et al.,

Lead Case No. CV 22-114-M-DWM

Plaintiffs,

Member Case No. CV 23-3-M-DWM

and

ALLIANCE FOR THE WILD ROCKIES and NATIVE ECOSYSTEMS COUNCIL,

DEFENDANTINTERVENOR/CONSOLIDATED
DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR
KOOTENAI TRIBE OF IDAHO'S
SHORT RESPONSE TO NOTICE
OF SUPPLEMENTAL
AUTHORITY [ECF No. 89]

Consolidated Plaintiffs,

VS.

U.S. FOREST SERVICE, et al.,

DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR/CONSOLIDATED DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR'S SHORT RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

Defendants,

and

KIRSTEN KAISER, District Ranger, Kootenai National Forest, Three Rivers Ranger District, *et al.*,

Consolidated Defendants.

and

KOOTENAI TRIBE OF IDAHO,

Defendant-Intervenor/ Consolidated Defendant-Intervenor.

The Kootenai Tribe of Idaho (the Tribe) offers the following observations regarding the post-briefing decision referenced in the Notice of Supplemental Authority (ECF No. 89) filed by Consolidated Plaintiffs Alliance for the Wild Rockies et al. See *Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Marten (Marten)*, CV 21-05-M-DLC, 2023 WL 4977712 (D. Mont. Aug. 3, 2023) (involving unauthorized road use Endangered Species Act challenges to programmatic decisions on the Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest).

First, unlike this case involving a site-specific action on the Kootenai National Forest, *i.e.*, the Black Ram Project, *Marten* involved programmatic

Page 1 – DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR/CONSOLIDATED DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR'S SHORT RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

challenges to a Forest Plan Biological Opinion, *id.* at *6-11, and to Biological Opinions for two Travel Plans plus Biological Assessments for two additional Travel Plans on the forest. *Id.* at *11-14. Further, in addition to the programmatic versus site-specific action distinction, the unauthorized road use claims in *Marten* arose under the Endangered Species Act rather than under the statutes at issue in this case, the National Forest Management Act and National Environmental Policy Act. Put simply, unlike *Marten*, this case does not involve an Endangered Species Act unauthorized road use challenge to a programmatic Biological Opinion (nor to a project-specific Biological Opinion for that matter).

Second, the unauthorized road use allegations in *Marten* rested on types of data not at issue in this case. Specifically, *Marten* involved allegations of "hundreds of law enforcement records of illegal motorized use across the Forest," and "hundreds of miles of roads that were closed on paper [yet] still physically open to motorized use." *Id.* at *9. This case involves no such allegations or types of data so is factually distinct.

Third, whereas *Marten* found (at *12) that the evidence of ineffective road closures rose to the level of the chronically ineffective road closures held illegal in *Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Probert*, 412 F. Supp. 3d 1188, 1202-08 (D. Mont.

Page 2 – DEFENDANTINTERVENOR/CONSOLIDATED
DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR'S SHORT
RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL
AUTHORITY

2019), the Black Ram evidence is plainly distinguishable from that in *Probert*. This relates to the Tribe's argument in its opening summary judgment brief (ECF No. 66) at pages 40-41, 42-45, 49-50 and in its reply brief (ECF No. 82) at pages 49-50 regarding the effectiveness of road closures in the Black Ram Project area. This also relates to the Federal Defendants' argument in their opening summary judgment brief (ECF No. 61) at pages 58-66 and in their reply brief (ECF No. 80) at pages 32-35 regarding the effectiveness of road closures in the Black Ram Project area. More fundamentally, this relates to Federal Defendants' argument in their opening summary judgment brief (ECF No. 61 at 56-58) that Consolidated Plaintiffs forfeited their ineffective road closures argument.

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of August, 2023.

s/ Julie A. Weis
Julie A. Weis
HAGLUND KELLEY LLP
Portland, Oregon
(admitted *pro hac vice*)

Kris A. McLean Tyson A. McLean Kris A. McLean Law Firm, PLLC

Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor/Consolidated Defendant-Intervenor Kootenai Tribe of Idaho

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 10th day of August, 2023, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, via CM/ECF on:

Clerk of U.S. District Court

Rebecca Kay Smith
PUBLIC INTEREST DEFENSE CENTER
PO Box 7584
317 East Spruce Street
Missoula, MT 59807
publicdefense@gmail.com

Timothy M. Bechtold BECHTOLD LAW FIRM PO Box 7051 Missoula, MT 59087-7051 tim@bechtoldlaw.net

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Alliance for the Wild Rockies and Native Ecosystems Council

Andrea Zaccardi CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY PO Box 469 Victor, MT 83455 azaccardi@biologicaldiversity.org

Edward B. Zukoski CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY 1536 Wynkoop Steet, Suite 421 Denver, CO 80202 tzukoski@biologicaldiversity.org

Rachel G. Inabnit Law Office of Rachel Inabnit, PLLC P.O. Box 8846 Missoula, MT 59807

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

rachel.inabnitlawoffice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Center for Biological Diversity, Yaak Valley Forest Council and WildEarth Guardians

Erika Furlong
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE –
E.N.R.D. WILDLIFE & MARINE SECTION
150 M Street NE
Washington, DC 20002
erika.furlong@usdoj.gov

Hayley A. Carpenter
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE –
E.N.R.D.
150 M Street NE
Washington, DC 20002
hayley.carpenter@usdoj.gov

John P. Tustin
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE –
E.N.R.D. SECTION
Benjamin Franklin Station, P.O. Box 7611
Washington DC 20044-7611
john.tustin@usdoj.gov

Caitlyn Faye Cook
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE –
E.N.R.D. WILDLIFE & MARINE SECTION
150 M Street NE
Washington, DC 20002
caitlyn.cook@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Defendants

By: /s/ Julie A. Weis

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE